

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/601,913 08/08/2000		08/08/2000	Sergei Mikhailovich Safronov	V-177	5275	
802	7590	03/25/2003				
DELLETT A			EXAMINER			
310 S.W. FO SUITE 1101		· — · · • —		RADA, A	ALEX P	
PORTLAND, OR 97204				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3714	3714	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
(Communication Re: Appeal	09/601,913	SAFRONOV ET AL.
Communication Ne. Appear	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. Thomas Hughes	3714
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is no	t acceptable because:	
(a) it was not timely filed.		
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appea	I was not submitted. See 37 CFR 1	.17(b).
(c) the appeal fee received on w	as not timely filed.	
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insuf	ficient. The appeal fee required by	37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with rejection in this application.	37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no re	ecord of a second or a final
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was	s mailed by the Office on	
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT ac	cceptable for the reason(s) indicate	d below:
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely	. See 37 CFR 1.192.	
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief h	as not been submitted. See 37 CF	R 1.17(c).
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is	insufficient. The brief fee required	by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$
The appeal in this application will be dism brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time		
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISS	ED because:	
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief a period for obtaining an extension of		
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the CFR 1.136 has expired.	e period for obtaining an extension o	of time to file the brief under 37
(c) Request for Continued Examination	n (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was fi	led on
(d) 🛮 other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, the	nis application:	
(a) $oximes$ is abandoned because there are no	allowed claims.	
(b) is before the examiner for final disponentson the merits remains CLOSED.	osition because it contains allowed	claims. Prosecution
(c) is before the examiner for considerate to 37 CFR 1.114.		Mullion Thomas Hughes
	SF Ari	PE Unit: 3714

Continuation of 3. (d) Other: Appellant has filed an amended or corrected brief which did not overcome all of the reasons fo non-compliance of which appellant was notified. See MPEP 1206 and 37 CFR 1.192(d). Specifically, the corrected brief file January 13, 2003 once again contains a defective grouping of claims. For instance, appellant states that claims 2-11, 14 and 15 do not necessarily stand or fall together with claims 1, 12, 13 and 16, yet provides absolutely no reasons for why these two groups should not stand or fall together. Appellant makes a statement in the corrected brief at page 5, line 13 through page 6, line 2 that on it's face may appear to be a reason in support of the statement that the claims do not stand or fall together, yet makes absolutely not substantive argument to that affect. Further, appellant has not removed the non-appealable issue of the drawing objection from the appeal brief..